



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,230	01/20/2006	Philippe Erbs	1032751-000131	2237
21839	7590	03/31/2010	EXAMINER	
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC			LEAVITT, MARIA GOMEZ	
POST OFFICE BOX 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			1633	
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/31/2010		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com
offserv@bipc.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/565,230	Applicant(s) ERBS, PHILIPPE
	Examiner MARIA LEAVITT	Art Unit 1633

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) Maria Leavitt.(3) Roy Roberts.(2) Joseph Woitach.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 March 2010**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Maria Leavitt/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Applicants' representative, Roy Roberts, on March 22 to discuss a proposed amendment to claim 12 and cancellation of withdrawn claims to set forth the claims in condition for allowance. The examiner contacted Applicants' representative a second time on March 26, 2010 after holding an allowability conference with her Supervisor Joseph Woitach. It was decided that no amendment was necessary for pending claim 12 filed on 12-28-2009. Accordingly, the examiner requested cancellation of previously withdrawn claims 1-11, 30, 31, 35-38 and 47-52 directed to non-elected inventions. Applicants' representative, Roy Roberts, will contact inventors to find out whether Applicants authorize cancellation of withdrawn claims.